## Message

From: Scianni, Melissa [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=96DF5A77C72A4D109141A14DCF9E5C9B-MSCIANNI]

**Sent**: 3/22/2022 12:18:20 AM

**To**: Marlene Tyner-Valencourt [tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com]

**CC**: Julie Garren [julie.garren@wra-ca.com]

Subject: RE: PRMB - Area F Spineflower Interim Comment-Response Matrix - Request for Comments

## Hi Marlene,

EPA counsel does not need to review the document. We do not routinely send bank instruments/amendments to EPA counsel for review, unless there is a disagreement with the Corps or they specifically request our counsel's input.

I will look at the draft final amendment when it is ready. I don't not expect to have substantive comments, but will review it for clarity (especially the ledger). I have pretty limited bandwidth right now, which is why I'm deferring to the Corps. But I don't expect it will take me more that a couple hours to review the amendment and send any comments to the Corps. What I don't have time for is meetings and review of multiple drafts. Our process for getting amendment signed is very quick since my direct supervisor signs.

Please let me know if you'd like to talk. Melissa

Melissa Scianni Wetlands Office US EPA, Region IX, Southern CA Field Office 600 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 940 Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 244-1817 scianni.melissa@epa.gov

From: Marlene Tyner-Valencourt <tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com>

Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:59 PM

**To:** Scianni, Melissa <Scianni.Melissa@epa.gov> **Cc:** Julie Garren <julie.garren@wra-ca.com>

Subject: Re: PRMB - Area F Spineflower Interim Comment-Response Matrix - Request for Comments

## Hi Melissa,

I am coordinating with Lisa and Shannon to get the Petersen Ranch Area F Spineflower amendment revisions completed and out to everyone in the IRT in time to get the amendment processed by June. Per Lisa's email from late January, it sounds like Newhall's spineflower introduction program is at risk if we do not get the amendment processed by June. I'm hopeful that if we get this amendment review on everyone's schedules now we can get it processed in time.

What is your availability over the next 1-2 months to work on processing the amendment? I know when we last met you mentioned that EPA will not be providing comment on the amendment materials and will defer to the Corps on those comments. However, I do believe that EPA staff and, I'm guessing, counsel will need to review the amendment materials before signing. This information will also be helpful to understand everyone's availability to work on other submittals, such as credit release requests and the Soquel simple amendment.

I'll be following up with the IRT as a whole to coordinate further, including passing along the current priority list of things for the IRT to process. Thank you for letting me know your bandwidth over the next few months!
Thanks, Marlene
On Wed, Mar 2, 2022 at 12:39 PM Marlene Tyner-Valencourt < tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com > wrote:
Hi Lisa,
Thanks for your email. In terms of status on our end, we are almost finished addressing CDFW's comments that we aggregated in the comment matrix distributed to the IRT on December 8, 2021. I believe that Shannon was going to try and get USACE's comments to us by the end of February for us to add to the matrix and begin addressing. Shannon, are you still planning on sending us comments and, if so, when may we expect to receive them?
I think it's probably right to wait to amend the CE when Area F is incorporated into the Bank, but that we should process the amendment itself (see attached with CDFW's comments) as part of this amendment package. What are the IRT's thoughts on this? As we are preparing the amendment package materials, please advise on if the amendment and/or CE documents will be included in this package.
I agree that getting regular calls scheduled would be helpful given the upcoming June deadline to complete this amendment, and I would be happy to attend those meetings on behalf of the Bank Sponsor - please advise on schedule, etc.
Thank you, Marlene
On Mon, Jan 31, 2022 at 2:23 PM Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife < <u>Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov</u> > wrote:
Hello all,
I'm reaching out to see what is necessary to get this amendment over the finish line. According to our management, Newhall Ranch needs to have this finalized by June or the whole spineflower introduction unravels. Our office of general counsel provided a draft of the amendment and comments on the CE for the Area F phase. I believe our counsel and the USACE's were in agreement that any changes that could be handled at the time of the amendment for the inclusion of this phase into the bank would be at that time. So, the focus of the changes now should be limited to those needed immediately for the spineflower actions, removing the 6.7 acres from crediting.
I'm suggesting we schedule weekly meetings to get this amendment finalized. If we can bring in our respective counsel to at least some of these, I think that would help as well.

Thank you for your consideration.

Lisa Lisa Gymer Senior Environmental Scientist Specialist Regional Conservation and Mitigation Banking Coordinator California Department of Fish and Wildlife South Coast Region 3883 Ruffin Road San Diego, California 92123 Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov C: 858 294 8074 F: 858 467 4299 From: Marlene Tyner-Valencourt < tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com> Sent: Wednesday, December 8, 2021 12:09 PM To: Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife < Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov>; Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) < <u>Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil</u>>; Scianni, Melissa < <u>Scianni.Melissa@epa.gov</u>>; Sarvy Mahdavi <Mahdavi.Sarvy@epa.gov>; Steinert, Tiffany@Waterboards <Tiffany.Steinert@Waterboards.ca.gov> Cc: Nate Bello <bello@wra-ca.com>; Julie Garren <julie.garren@wra-ca.com>; Tracey Brownfield <tracey@landveritas.com> Subject: PRMB - Area F Spineflower Interim Comment-Response Matrix - Request for Comments WARNING: This message is from an external source. Verify the sender and exercise caution when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hello Petersen Ranch IRT,

ED\_013814\_00002438-00003

I have attached an interim comment-response matrix documenting the IRT comments we have received to date on the Area F Spineflower Introduction BEI Amendment materials. If you have any additional comments that are not already included in the table please send those to me by December 31. In the meantime, we will get started addressing the comments we have received so far.	
Thanks and looking forward to our meeting today!	
Best,	
Marlene	
<del></del>	
MARLENE TYNER-VALENCOURT, MESM   Conservation Project Manager   d: 858.682,2699   o: 858.842,1800 x 2210             c: 248,499,0805   tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com	
WRA, Inc.   <u>www.wra-ca.com</u>   3033 5th Avenue, Suite 315, San Diego, CA 92103   San Rafael   Emeryville   Petaluma   Fort Bragg   Denver  Our San Diego office has moved! Please note our new address.	
<del></del>	
MARLENE TYNER-VALENCOURT, MESM   Conservation Project Manager   d: 858.682.2699   o: 858.842.1800 x 2210   c: 248.499.0805   tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com	
WRA, Inc.   www.wra-ca.com   3033 5th Avenue, Suite 315, San Diego, CA 92103   San Rafael   Emeryville   Petaluma   Fort Bragg   Denver	
marlene tyner-valencourt, mesm   Conservation Project Manager   d: 858.682.2699   o: 858.842.1800 x 2210   c: 248.499.0805   tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com	_
<b>WRA, Inc.</b>   <u>www.wra-ca.com</u>   3033 5th Avenue, Suite 315, San Diego, CA 92103   San Rafael   Emeryville   Petaluma   Fort Bragg   Denver	